

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/707,089 11/20/2003		1/20/2003	Stephen P. Cole	BUR920030093US1	1088	
30449	7590	09/16/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHMEISE	ER, OLSI	EN + WATTS	VU, DAVID			
3 LEAR JET SUITE 201	LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LATHAM, 1	NY 1211	0	2818			

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

11
n
10
٧

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/707,089	COLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID VU	2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 July 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-5; 7-11&13-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5; 7-11&13-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s). 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Havemann et al. (US Pat. 6,130,156, herein after Havemann).

Regarding claims 1-3, 7-9, 13, 14, 17 and 18, Havemann discloses method of forming a bond pad for use in a wirebond interconnection, comprising: depositing a first layer of copper bond pad 11 on a substrate; and depositing a second layer of aluminum bond pad 13 on the first layer (col. 4, lines 21-23 and fig. 1c), wherein the Young's Modulus of aluminum is about 69GPa, and the copper is about 124Gpa; the hardness of the copper is about 3.0 and the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,089 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

aluminum is about 2.75. Regarding the limitations "the first layer of the copper bond pad is more resistant to penetration by a probe tip during probe testing than the second layer of the aluminum bond pad"; and "wherein the first layer of the copper bond pad is more resistant to mechanical failure than the second layer of the aluminum bond pad during mechanical testing of a wirebond interconnection formed on the bond pad" (see claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 15 and 16). This is an inherent property of copper and aluminum.

Havemann fails to disclose forming a wiring line/interconnection structure over the second layer of bond pad material. Although no illustration is made, multilayer wiring can be formed by iteratively executing the processing steps from the step of forming the inter-layer insulating film 25, to the step of forming the wiring line 11/13 (fig. 1a-1c) or 28/29/31 (fig. 2a-2c) and the plug/interconnect 33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Havemann by forming the multilayer wiring by a process similar to the foregoing (as taught in fig. 1a-1c or fig. 2a-2c), since it has been held that merely duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 19, Havemann discloses that an oxide layer over a surface of the substrate; and a via formed within the oxide layer within which the first and second layers of the bond pad are formed (col. 3, lines 57-61).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,089 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/707,089

0/707,089

Art Unit: 2818

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

David Vu

September 15, 2005.